

Tasmanian Audit Office

Recent Performance Audits 2016–17

May 2017

Simon Andrews, Manager Performance Audit Rob Luciani, Manager Technical and Quality



Use of fuel cards

Report of the Auditor-General

No. 11 of 2016-17

11 April 2017



Strive • Lead • Excel | To Make a Difference

Scope

- Transactions for 2015 calendar year
- All GGS entities (including State Fire Commission)
- Data population 5 843 used fuel cards
- 125 745 fuel card transactions
- fuel cost in the period totalled \$6.1m

(average \$48.50 per transaction)



Examination objective/criteria

Assess the probity and propriety of the use of fuel cards.

Data assessed against ten criteria based on:

- purchases (analysis of transactions)
- controls (odometer readings/PINs)
- transaction reporting and monitoring





DATA





Overall finding

Exceptions and anomalies identified

(unable to obtain sufficient evidence to support the nature of the purchase – cannot form conclusion)

- Not substantial in monetary terms
- Represented **weaknesses** in controls.



- Instances where entities failed to comply with established policies and guidance, such as:
 - purchases of fuel different to vehicle requirements
 - fuel purchased for more than one vehicle at a time, or for other equipment or containers
 - non-fuel purchases where no documentation was provided to support the nature of the item purchased
 - incorrect or absent odometer readings
 - non-activation of security PINs for fuel cards.



Recommendations

Improving processes over fuel card purchases (six)

- restrict fuel purchases to manufacturer specifications
- stop specific vehicle fuel cards used to fill other vehicles, vessels or equipment
- investigate controls to limit non-fuel purchases
- monitor and investigate fills in excess of tank capacity
- for government plated vehicles;
 - monitor and investigate purchases on non-working days or unusual times.
 - ensure logbooks are maintained to support the use



Recommendations

Fuel card controls – (two)

- record the correct odometer readings
- all fuel cards are issued with a PIN

Transaction reporting and monitoring (two)

- access new LeasePlan Analytics reports and ensure a timely review/scrutiny of fuel transactions
- implement procedures to monitor the utilisation of individual fuel cards



Client responses

Entities accepted recommendations and indicated commitment to improve. Of note:

'Treasury is in the process of arranging security PIN and fuel type restrictions for all government fuel cards, and establishing a Government Fleet Reference Group, whereby agencies will work collaboratively to address specific fleet management issues.'





Ambulance emergency services

REPORT OF THE AUDITOR-GENERAL No. 1 of 2016–17 September 2016



Audit objective

The audit assessed the effectiveness and efficiency of Ambulance Tasmania's provision of emergency and urgent responses



- AT was effective regarding clinical outcomes, in particular:
 - Maintained over time
 - Similar and in some areas better than other Australian jurisdictions.
 - Reasonably consistent for all regions
 - Compliant with clinical procedures



- Response times consistent over time
- Response times slower than other jurisdictions: more emergency responses & Tas less urbanised
- At regional level:
 - Disparity in overall response times
 - Variations in deployment of resources
- Location of stations and branches not optimal





Tasmanian Audit Office

Response times: other jurisdictions (median)

Tasmania:

 13% more emergency responses

- 23% less urbanised
- better clinical outcomes than most

- Significant reduction in real cost per response
- Reasonably cost effective compared to other jurisdictions
- AT's strategic management processes generally effective
- AT trying to improve performance innovation



Recommendations

Nine recommendations, including:

- Collect data for better regional comparison of clinical outcomes
- Develop strategies to improve response times compared to other jurisdictions
- Investigate impact of additional resources in North on response times
- Investigate why level of multiple responses increased
- Improve KPIs





Event funding

REPORT OF THE AUDITOR-GENERAL No. 4 of 2016–17 November 2016



November 2016

Strive • Lead • Excel | To Make a Difference

Audit objective/scope

- Objective to express an opinion on whether supported events were:
 - Cost effective for Tasmania
 - Funded in accordance with government policy
- Scope:
 - State Growth
 - Health and Human Services
 - Premier and Cabinet



Net benefit for Tasmania?

We noted lack of quantitative evaluation, so we:

- Devised own model to perform cost-benefit analysis
- Tested all events for net benefit
- Tested whether total government funding had yielded a net benefit



Of the 20 funded events examined:

- Substantial net benefits for 15
- Marginal net benefits for two
- Two outside our mandate (10 Days, Youth forum)
- Unable to do CBA for Senior's Week but accept that strong reasons existed to fund it



Recommendations

Four recommendations, including:

- Quantitative assessment, preferably cost benefits analysis, be performed wherever reasonably possible
- Exit reports for funded events be routinely analysed





Park management

REPORT OF THE AUDITOR-GENERAL No. 5 of 2016–17 November 2016



November 2016

Strive • Lead • Excel | To Make a Difference

Audit objective

Opinion on how effectively PWS managed national parks by reviewing:

- Planning processes
- Plan implementation

We examined:

- Allocation of funding to national parks
- Impact of 2013 transfer of 315 600 ha from Forestry to PWS



- PWS had a logical process for funding
- 2014–15 appropriation per hectare continued to be low compared to prior years/other jurisdictions
- PWS identified & protected its high-value assets, but:
 - PMPs were outdated
 - No systematic process to monitor assets or threats
 - PWS effectively managing bushfire threat



- PWS had identified & documented pests, weeds or diseases (PWDs), but:
 - Documents sometimes more than 10 years out-of-date
 - Little evidence of strategies or actions to control threats
 - No routine monitoring process



PWS had generally effective infrastructure and safety processes:

- Objectives and safety requirements defined
- Effectively maintaining high-use infrastructure
- Extensive inspection regime

However, we had some concerns with:

- Some evidence of a rising trend in accident statistics
- Maintenance of low-use infrastructure



Recommendations

Ten recommendations including:

- More emphasis on PWDs
- PWS to review funding
- Update and revise PMPs
- Regular monitoring of state of assets and threats





Funding the forest agreements

REPORT OF THE AUDITOR-GENERAL No. 9 of 2016–17 March 2017



Background

- Industry workforce declined 30% from 7000 in 2008 to 4650 by Sept 2010
- Tasmanian Forests Intergovernmental Agreement (TFIGA): August 2011
- Tasmanian Forest Agreement (TFA): 2012
- TFIGA renewed 2013



TFIGA objectives

- Resolve conflict between environmentalists and forest workers
- Protect additional native forests
- Enable restructuring of the forestry industry
- Develop a sustainable timber industry



TFIGA

- 21 projects \$394.40m
- Commonwealth committed \$338m
- State Government \$56.40m
- Commonwealth administered 6 projects
- State delivered 15 of the 21 projects



Audit objective/scope

Objective:

To assess the effectiveness of the State's administration of the TFIGA projects

Scope:

- State Growth
- Treasury
- Forestry Tasmania
- Parks and Wildlife Service (DPIPWE)





Tasmanian Audit Office



- Governance processes adequately outlined in project guidelines 1 exception
- Assessment processes complied with guidelines
- Some shortfalls in project management documentation
- Performance, including both progress & compliance with objective, monitored & reported 1 exception
- Funding accounted for changes to programs documented



Recommendations

- 1. Project guidelines should specify monitoring and reporting requirements
- 2. Follow requirements of TI 709
- 3. Document assessment rationales and decisions
- 4. Define process to monitor compliance with objectives in project management documentation



Tasmanian Audit Office **Follow up of selected Auditor-General reports: September 2011 to June** 2014

REPORT OF THE AUDITOR-GENERAL No. 10 of 2016–17 April 2017



Audit objective

Audit objective was to:

- Extent to which recommendations of selected reports were implemented
- Determine reasons for non-implementation

Our benchmark for follow up audits is 70%



Audit scope

The four reports selected were:

- Tourism Tasmania: is it effective? (2011)
- The assessment of land-use planning applications (2012)
- Hospital bed management and primary preventative health (2013)
- Teaching quality in public high schools (2014)



Audit approach

Our audit approach was to:

- Survey entities asking them to 'self-assess' extent to which recommendations implemented
- Collect and review evidence
- Discuss findings with entities
- Moderate and revise results



Rate of implementation



Current PAS audits

- Gambling revenue & harm minimisation June 2017
 - Managing collection of gambling revenue
 - Effective management of the CSL
 - Effective enforcement of regulatory harm minimisation measures
- TasWater: benefits of amalgamation Sept 2017
 - Assess the extent to which the benefits, envisaged by the government (2008 & 2013 reforms) have been achieved
- Tasmanian prisons Oct 2017
 - Effectiveness & efficiency of Tas Prison Service's (Justice) financial management of its custodial facilities





Thank you

42